FOIAb3b

CPYRGHT

Secret Trash is Part of Olepka Case discretion of the office of the President. The Truman order, still in

Arguments Over 'Higher Loyalties' Also Involved

WASHINGTON (UP)

Secret trash, purloined/typewriter ribbons, arguments over "higher" loyalties these were the elements of a State Department personnel Acase now entering a new chapter.

The case of Otto F. Otepka, a State Department security officer, has popped in and out of news for the past

Otepka, 49, was until about a year ago chief of the evaluations division of the State Department's Office of Security. The office handles security clearances of employes.

Dismissal Notice

On Sept. 23, 1963, the State Department served him with a notice of intended dismissal on 13 charges.

Three charges accused Otepka of conduct "unbecoming an officer of the Department of State" on grounds he gave classified documents concerning the loyalty of employes or prospective employes to J. G. Sourwine, chief counsel of the Senate internal security subcommittee.

The subcommittee had been investigating State Department security off and on since 1961. Otepka had been a witness at hearings several times during this period.

The burden of the charges was that Otepka furnished information to the subcom-

partment.

Cites 1948 Directive

loyalty programs to be kept to country above loyalty to confidential and immune persons, party, or govern-from suopoena except at the ment department.

The Truman order, still in effect, was designed for protection of individuals.

Eight of the charges ac-

'Breach of Conduct'

The dismissal letter said icer."

The letter said the State Department's evidence cials who first denied any against Otepka was gathered wiretapping, were placed on by obtaining and examining "administrative leave" by ribbons from his typewriter the State Department after and strong of pooler from his they amended their senate and scraps of paper from his "burnpag." This is a brown paper sack found in all State Department offices for col-lection and incineration of waste paper which other-wise might disclose secrets.

denying the charges.

The department cited a March 13, 1948, directive of President Harry S. Truman which ordered the files of the appearances his purpose was to "speak the whole truwhich ordered the files of the highest moral principles and formative above loyalty to He said that in his commit-

Levels Own Charges

He then leveled charges of his own at colleagues in the State Department.

"I have reason to believe," Otepka wrote, "that in re-"declassifying" and "mutilat- Department of State have seting" State Department recretly employed listening decords by snipping off the vices to eavesdrop on conclassified labels.

"I have reason to believe that my office telephone has been tapped and that my the two latter charges were been tapped and that my "a breach of the standard of desk and my safe have been conduct expected of an offi- surreptitiously opened and searched."

Two state department offithey amended their senate testimony and said a telephone tapping attempt was

made. They later resigned.
Otepka filed an appeal from his dismissal notice. A closed hearing is scheduled Otepka filed an answer to begin this Wednesday before a hearing officer.

CPYRGHT

Samitized in phirovisid for Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R000600040017-7